## Message

From: Wilson, Jennifer [wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 2/6/2017 8:00:53 PM

**To**: Rowsey, Kevin [rowsey.kevin@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

I think using some of the language in the Chemours letters is a good idea.

You are lucky that you got a new hire. I am jealous. However, I know Roger is overwhelmed since Karen retired so I am sure you and she will help.

I guess it is job security...in a way! You have a good day, too! Jenny

From: Rowsey, Kevin

**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2017 10:47 AM **To:** Wilson, Jennifer < wilson.jennifer A@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

It's no problem at all! I may use some of the language from the results letters that Chemours sends out. I can understand your overworked issue. Fortunately, we got one new hire for our branch. She received her offer letter about a week before the inauguration.

I want to help in any way that I can though. They asked me to help Roger out with PFC issues when our branch chief, Karen, retired in October. I know he's felt pretty overwhelmed at times too.

I hope you can get some help in there soon! I guess one bright side to having so much work is job security haha.

Have a good day Jenny,

Kevin

From: Wilson, Jennifer

**Sent:** Monday, February 06, 2017 11:21 AM **To:** Rowsey, Kevin < rowsey.kevin@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Hi Kevin,

Thank you so much! This is a huge help. My Branch continues to be overworked because of various issues that we are responding to. In addition, we didn't get any new hires recently because our new hire accepted and then cancelled. I was supposed to mentor the new hire and give her some of my work, too. Oh well! I hope you guys are better staffed than we are.

Thank you again, Jenny

From: Rowsey, Kevin

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2017 10:18 AM

To: Wilson, Jennifer < wilson.jennifer A@epa.gov >; Reinhart, Roger < Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Roger and Jenny,

I agree with both of you on this. I can start to draft a letter, in case we're not able to contact all of the residents by phone (which I assume will definitely happen). I'll send it to both of you for your input when I'm finished.

Have a great day,

Kevin

From: Wilson, Jennifer

**Sent:** Monday, February 06, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Reinhart, Roger < Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov >; Rowsey, Kevin < rowsey.kevin@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Hi Roger,

That sounds like a good plan. Let's try calling the residents this week and then we can discuss potential letters next week.

Have a good Monday, Jenny

From: Reinhart, Roger

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2017 9:55 AM

To: Wilson, Jennifer < wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov>; Rowsey, Kevin < rowsey.kevin@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Hi Jennifer,

Yes, I was thinking the same thing as I reviewed the information Katherine forwarded to us. Kevin and I can address the West Virginia residences while you can follow up on the Ohio sites. I have not developed letters for the Washington Works facility, but it should not take much time to develop one. We can talk later if you'd like.

Roger

From: Wilson, Jennifer

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 10:49 AM

To: Reinhart, Roger < Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov >; Rowsey, Kevin < rowsey.kevin@epa.gov >

Subject: FW: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Hi Roger and Kevin,

## Ex. 5 AC/AWP/DP

Thank you, Jenny From: Davis, Katherine L [mailto:katherine.l.davis@aecom.com]

Sent: Sunday, February 5, 2017 3:20 PM

To: Wilson, Jennifer < wilson.jennifer A@epa.gov >; Reinhart, Roger < Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov >; Rowsey, Kevin

<rowsey.kevin@epa.gov>

Cc: Andrew.S.Hartten@chemours.com

Subject: RE: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Good Afternoon,

Attached please find the non-response decline other spreadsheet which contains a list of folks identified based on previous Consent Order programs as non-response or decline and provides the status of contacts and attempts to contact that were made by AECOM on behalf of Chemours. As required in Paragraph 42 d) and related to the first bullet listed below, AECOM, on behalf of Chemours, has contacted or attempted to contact all the residents included in the spreadsheet within 30 days of the effective date of the 2009 Consent Order as Amended. During the update meeting on January 25, 2017, Andrew discussed the status of the Craft residence with you and the fact that this was an unsafe situation in 2012. In addition, Andrew explained that nothing has changed in the appearance of this property since that time and that Ali Pearce believes it is still an unsafe situation at this time. As such, AECOM has not attempted to recontact this residence. Thank you.

Kathy

Katherine L. Davis, PhD
North America PFAS Lead
Principal Geologist, Philly Metro Area
D 1-302-781-5890
C 1-302-530-2687
Katherine L. Davis@aecom.com

From: Davis, Katherine L

Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 4:42 PM

To: wilson.jennifera@epa.gov; Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov; Rowsey, Kevin (rowsey.kevin@epa.gov)

Cc: Andrew.S.Hartten@chemours.com

Subject: First Amendment to the Order on Consent - Requirements Met

Good Afternoon Everyone,

On January 10, 2017, I forwarded Roger, Jenny and Kevin a copy of the master spreadsheet that includes all ongoing sampling and resampling programs that Chemours voluntarily began around June 2016 while negotiating the language for the First Amendment to the Order on Consent. On January 11, 2017, Andrew Hartten hosted a webex meeting attended by Roger Reinhart, Jenny Wilson, Jim Bennett and me. During this meeting, I provided an overview of the status of the various programs included in the spreadsheet. During the discussion, and with submission of the spreadsheet, the following requirements as identified in the First Amendment to the Order on Consent (effective date January 5, 2017) were met:

- Per paragraph 42 d) Within 14 days of the effective date, provide EPA a list of all public or private water systems that previously declined or did not respond to offers of temporary or permanent alternate drinking water drinking water supplies. This list is provided in the NRDO Pre HA tab in the spreadsheet. This information has been shared and discussed with EPA several times previously and the updated status was summarized and discussed.
- The NRD Post HA tab in the spreadsheet contains a list of residents that have been identified as non-response or decline since approximately June 2016 when the new sampling and resampling program began. EPA agreed that these newly identified non-response or decline residents do not need to be recontacted within 30 days as required by Paragraph 42 d) because these residents had just recently been identified and contacted. All on this

list will be contacted in January 2018 as required in paragraph 42d) to meet the requirement of checking with residents on an annual basis.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

Kathy

Katherine L. Davis, PhD PFAS Technical Practice Group Leader Principal Geologist, Philly Metro Area D 1-302-781-5890

C 1-302-530-2687

Katherine.L.Davis@aecom.com

## **AECOM**

4051 Ogletown Road, Sabre Building Suite 300, Newark, Delaware 19713 T 1-302-781-5900 F 1-302-781-5901 www.aecom.com